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## ABSTRACT

Biostatistics is a field of Statistical Science in which various statistical techniques may be used for the measurement of biological relationship.In the study of Dose-Response relationships, One may find Binomial and Poission counts and Rates.

In this Research article ,Some tests for equality between Bionomial and Poission counts Rates have been proposed in a simple manner.
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## I.INTRODUCTION

Biostatistics is regarded as one of the main branches of statistical science in which various mathematical and statistical methods have been used in Biological Sciences in the widest sense; in Biology, Medicine, Psychology, Agriculture, Forestry, Ecology, Epidemiology and others.

In the comparison of counts or proportions across different populations, it is often important to consider the intrinsic ordering of the populations with respect to some particular characteristic. For instance, one may be interested in assessing whether the proportion of women reporting Insomnia increases with age group or whether the number of accidents is increasing over calendar periods. This type of comparison can be accomplished through the application 'Trend Test'.

## II. TREND TESTS FOR BIOSTATISTICS:

In the comparison of counts or proportions across different populations, it is often important to consider the intrinsic ordering of the populations with respect to some particular characteristic. For instance, one may be interested in assessing whether the proportion of women reporting Insomnia increases with age group or whether the number of accidents is increasing over calendar periods. This type of comparison can be accomplished through the application 'Trend Test'. Trend Test arise generally within a wide variety Biostatistical applications, such as
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Bioassys, epidemiologic studies and evaluations of environmental exposures etc. in which a Dose-Response relationship may be considered. The characteristic of the population may be measured on a continuous scale, such as an assigned treatment level, or on an ordinal scale (ordered categorical data), such as age group or initial severity of a health condition.

Consider $Y_{i}$ be a random variable representing the count of interest for the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ population; $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be quantitative (continuous of ordinal) covariate for the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ population; and $w_{i}$ be a known design variable for the $i^{\text {th }}$ population (often relates to the sample or population size)

Now, $R_{i}=\frac{Y_{i}}{W_{i}}$ represents a rate of a certain event

## The form of data for a Trend Test may be given by

| Population <br> i | Population covariate $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Weight $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Observed count $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Rate $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ | Expected count $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | W1 f( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | W2 f ( $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ ) |
| . | - | - | - | - | . |
| . | - | . | - | - | . |
| . | . | . | . | . | . |
| K | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | Wk f( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) |

The expected count relates to the covariate through a continuous function $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may state the thus null hypothesis as, there is no difference in expected counts due to differences in $X_{i}$, so that $H_{0}$ may be written as $\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), \forall \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{k}$

And $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \neq \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \forall \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$.

The one sided alternatives may be written as,
$\mathrm{H}_{11}: \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), \forall \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$, an increasing trend alternative,
$\mathrm{H}_{12}: \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)>\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), \forall \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$, decreasing trend alternative.

Consider k independent random samples drawn from each of the $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$ populations.

The function $f(x)$ may be considered as either linear function of $x$ say $f(x)=\alpha+\beta$ , or a monotone (increasing or decreasing) continuous function of
$a+\beta x$ as $f(x)=g(\alpha+\beta x)$

For instance $g(x)=1-\exp [-(\alpha+\beta x)]$

Generally, the inverse function $\mathrm{g}^{-1}[\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})]$ is known as the 'Link function' to be modeled as the linear function $(\alpha+\beta x)$. For example, the link functions for the Normal, Logistic and extreme value models are respectively given by probit, logit and complementary $\log -\log \operatorname{link}$ functions.

By choosing an appropriate model, the null hypothesis may be stated as, $\mathrm{H}_{0}=\beta=0$ against
$\mathrm{H}_{11}: \beta>0$, an increasing trend or $\mathrm{H}_{12}: \beta<0$, decreasing trend

For the trend test, any of discrete probability distribution may be assumed for the count random variables $y_{i}$.

## III. TREND TEST FOR BINOMIAL COUNTS AND RATES

Suppose that, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} \sim$ Binomial distribution and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$, sample size for the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ population.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Also let } \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{g}\left(\alpha+\beta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may have, $E\left[Y_{i}\right]=n_{i} p_{i}=n_{i} g\left(\alpha+\beta X_{i}\right)$

The $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ may be stated as
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{2}=\ldots=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{11}: \mathrm{p}_{1}<\mathrm{p}_{2}<\ldots<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$
and $\mathrm{H}_{12}: \mathrm{p}_{1}>\mathrm{p}_{2}>\ldots>\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$.

To test the null hypothesis, first one may obtain the maximum likelihood estimator for $\beta$ as follows:

Consider the likelihood function for binomial distribution as
$L(\alpha, \beta)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\binom{n_{i}}{y_{i}} p_{i}^{y_{i}}\left(1-p_{i}\right)^{n_{i}-y_{i}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\binom{n_{i}}{y_{i}}\left(\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right)^{y_{i}}\left[1-\left(\alpha+\beta x_{i}\right)\right]^{n_{i}-y_{i}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $g(x)$ is the identity function which is linear. The maximum likelihood (ML) estimators for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ may be obtained by solving the following score equations:
$S(\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta})=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ x_{i}\end{array}\right]\left[y_{i}-n_{i} \hat{p}_{i}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$

Where $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{g}\left(\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$

The ML estimator for $\beta$ is solve by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}\left(y_{i}-n_{i} \tilde{p}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { Where } \tilde{\rho}=\frac{\Sigma \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}} \text { and } \overline{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\Sigma \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}} .
$$

Remarks: (i) For the logistic regression model, pi may be writtenes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\exp \left[\alpha+\beta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]}{1+\exp \left(\alpha+\beta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the score equations may be solved by using some methods in the numerical analysis such as the Newton - Raphson or Fisher scoring Algorithm or iterative technique, to obtain the ML estimation $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$.
(ii) the link function $\mathrm{g}^{-1 \mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{x})$ may be considered as a second degree polynomial ( $\mathrm{a}+\beta \mathrm{x}$ $\left.+\gamma x^{2}\right)$ and one can obtain the ML estimations $\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$.

The score test statistic to test $H_{0}: \beta=0$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\text {binomial }}=S^{\mid}\left(\alpha_{o}, \beta_{o}\right) I^{-1}\left(\alpha_{o}, \beta_{o}\right) S\left(\alpha_{o}, \beta_{o}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\beta_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{I}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$ is the inverse of the information matrix, evaluated the null hypothesis, one may express,

$$
\begin{align*}
I(\alpha, \beta) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{\alpha^{2}} & I_{\alpha_{\beta}} \\
I_{\alpha \beta} & I_{\beta^{2}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial^{2} \log L(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} \log L(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \log L(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial^{2} \log L(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta^{2}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} p_{i}\left(1-p_{i}\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{i} \\
x_{i} & x_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { Where } x_{i}^{\prime}=\left[1 x_{i}\right] . \\
I^{\dashv}(\alpha, \beta)=\left(I_{\beta^{2}}-I_{\alpha \beta} I_{\alpha^{2}}^{\dashv} I_{\alpha \beta}\right)^{-1} \\
\text { or } I^{-1}(\alpha, \beta)=p(1-p) \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, the score test statistic is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\text {Binomial }}^{2}=\frac{S^{2}(\alpha, \beta)}{I^{-1}(\alpha, \beta)}  \tag{3.12}\\
& =\frac{\left[\Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right]^{2}}{\tilde{\mathrm{p}}(1-\tilde{\mathrm{p}}) \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In the matrix form, the score test statistic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\text {Binomial }}^{2}=\mathrm{X}^{\mid}[\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}]\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mid} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{X}\right]^{-1} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $X=\left[\left(x_{1}-\bar{x}\right), \ldots\left(x_{k}-\bar{x}\right)\right]^{\top}$,

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]^{l}, \quad \mathrm{E}=\left[\mathrm{n}, \tilde{\mathrm{p}}, \ldots, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}\right]
$$

And $V$ is the diagonal matrix with elements $n_{i} \tilde{p}(1-\tilde{p})$ on the diagonal.

Here, $Z_{\text {Bionomial }}^{2}$ follows asymptotically the $\chi^{2}$ distribution with one degree of freedom.

The trend test may be frequently used in the analysis of animal bioassay with reference to tumor incidence experiments, in which the animals are randomized to various
exposure or dose levels of a drug, chemical or other stimulus and the proportion exhibiting the response of interest is observed. A typical form of bioassay data with binomial counts for lung tumors in female mice exposed 1,2 , dichloroethane, for the application of trend test is given by:

| Dose (mg/kg)xi | Number exposed <br> $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | Number with <br> tumor <br> $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | Percentage with <br> tumor <br> $\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} / \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ |

## IV. TREND TEST FOR POISSON COUNTS AND RATES:

Consider $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \ldots . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}$ be independent poisson random variables and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be an ordered covariate assume that $E\left[Y_{i}\right]=W_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)$.

Also consider $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}$, the mean of poisson variable $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
One may consider the weights $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ arising from one of two situations: either,
(i) $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ may be the number of rare events during an internal of length $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$, where $\lambda \mathrm{i}$ is the event rate per unit time

Or (ii) $\mathrm{Y}_{i}$ may be the sum of Wi independent Poisson random variables, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{W_{i}} Y_{i j} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $Y_{i 1}, Y_{i 2}, \ldots, Y_{i w i}$ are identically distributed with mean $\lambda_{i}$.

For instance, incidence of AIDS or cancer cases per calendar year; number of injuries or accidents over a set time period, number of bacteria per unit volume of suspension; or number of tumors observed in $W_{i}$ animals exposed to dose $x_{i}$ in an animal bioassay.

One may test for an increasing or decreasing trend in the means $\lambda_{i}=E\left[y_{i}\right] / w_{i}$ with increasing levels of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

The relationship between $\lambda_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ may be specified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{g}\left(\alpha+\beta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Frequently under poison regression, one may specify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{xi}} \text { or } \quad \log \left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\alpha+\beta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the more general specification given by (4.3), the likelihood function may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\alpha, \beta)=c\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots Y_{k}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \exp \left\{-\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~g}\left(\alpha+\beta \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}\left\{\mathrm{g}\left(\alpha+\beta \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}^{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $c$ is a constant independent of $a$ and $\beta$. The ML estimators $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ can be obtained by solving score equations, $\frac{\partial \log L(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha}=0$
and $\frac{\partial \log L(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha}=0$ simultaneously.

As in the case of Binomial counts, iterative numerical analysis methods may be used to obtain the ML estimators $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$.

The score test statistic for testing $H_{0}: \beta=0$ is given by
$\mathrm{Z}_{\text {poisson }}^{2}=\frac{\left[\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}\right)\right]^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2}}$

Where, $\overline{\mathrm{Y}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} / \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
$Z^{2}$ Poisson follows asymptotically $\chi^{2}$ distribution with one degree of freedom.
A typical form to data with poisson counts for new cases of melanoma and lung, stomach, reported between a time interval for six age groups, along with the person - years of employment in each age group. In these cases, the variance of poisson counts appears to be inflated relative to the mean.

| Age group <br> mid point <br> $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | Number of <br> observed <br> melanoma cases <br> $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | Person - years <br> of exposure <br> $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | Observed rate <br> per 100000 <br> person - years <br> $\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} / w_{i}\right) \mathbf{1 0}^{5}$ | Predicted rate <br> per 100000 <br> person - years <br> $\left(\hat{\lambda}_{i} \times 10^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{1}$ | $\left(\hat{\lambda}_{1} \times 10^{5}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{2}$ | $\left(\hat{\lambda}_{2} \times 10^{5}\right)$ |
| . | . | . | . | . |
| . | . | . | . |  |
| . | . | . | . |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{6}$ | . | $\mathrm{w}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{6}$ | $\left(\hat{\lambda}_{6} \times 10^{5}\right)$ |

Here the predict rate is based on the fitting of the model, given in (4.3)

## V. TEST FOR COMPARING THE EQUALITY OF TWO POISSON COUNTS:

Suppose that $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ be the two poisson counts taken over time periods $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ respectively. The two average frequencies or rates are given by $R_{1}=\left(y_{1} / w_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{y}_{2} / \mathrm{w}_{2}\right)$.To test for the equal rates, the test statistic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{R}_{1}-\mathrm{R}_{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{~W}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{~W}_{2}}\right]} \square \chi_{1}^{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large number of counts, the normal approximation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}-\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\sqrt{\left[\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{~W}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{~W}_{2}}\right.}} \square \mathrm{N}(0,1) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Test For Equality Of More Than Two Poisson Counts

(i) Equal Timings for Poisson Counts

Consider $Y_{i}$ be the $i^{\text {th }}$ count and the same times to obtain the counts are all the same
To test the null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{Y}_{1}=\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\ldots . .=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Y}($ say $)$ the test statistic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{y}}} \square \chi_{\mathrm{k}-1}^{2} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\overline{\mathrm{Y}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{k}$
(ii) Unequal Timings for Poisson Counts

Suppose that the time to obtain the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ count $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$. define,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{R}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} / \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{wi} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To test for the equality between the k poisson counts, the test statistic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \overline{\mathrm{R}}\right]^{2}}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}} \overline{\mathrm{R}}} \quad \square \chi_{\mathrm{k}-1}^{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## VI. CONCLUSIONS:

In the present study, an attempt has been made by developing some trend tests for biostatistics based on Binomial and Poisson counts and Rates. Also some new tests for equality between the poisson counts have been proposed in the present study.
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