

Science Park Research Journal



SYNTHESIS OF AURONES (2-BENZYLIDENEBENZOFURAN-3(2H)-ONES) DERIVATIVES USING CHOLINE CHLORIDE/TIN(II) CHLORIDE DEEP EUTECTIC SOLVENT AND FE₃O₄/λ -CARRAGEENAN/ZN(II)

Sanjeev Kumar Research Scholar , Dept. of Chemistry, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, Haryana(India)

ABSTRACT :

In this study, various Aurones (2-benzylidenebenzofuran-3(2H)-ones) derivatives have prepared efficiently through a method using choline chloride/tin(II) simple chloride (ChCl·2SnCl₂) deep eutectic solvent (DES), alone, or in the Fe_3O_4/\hbar -carrageenan/Zn(II) of presence magnetic bionanocatalyst. In the employed procedure, 2-chloro-1-(2,4dihydroxyphenyl)ethanone have mixed with aromatic aldehydes and the reactions have been completed in the presence of DES at 90 °C in 1.5 h. In addition to, using DES/Fe₃O₄/ \hbar carrageenan/Zn(II), the reaction time was reduced to 30 min. DES has been recycled four times without important loss of its activity to make a greener method for preparation of Aurones.



Keywords *Aurones, Condensation, DES,*

Nanocomposite, Catalyst.

INTRODUCTION

Aurones (2-benzylidenebenzo furan-3(2H)-ones) derivatives are important organic compounds which are structural isomers of flavones that contain an exocyclic double bond carbon-carbon bridging the benzofuranone and phenyl rings [1,2], and they exhibit useful biological activities, such as antimicrobial [3], antiparasitic [4-7], antiviral [8], anti-inflammatory [9], For synthesis point of view Aurones (2 benzylidenebenzofuran-3(2H)ones) are very important in organic synthesis, due to their wide biological and pharmacological properties. However, the literature has several methods for the

synthesis of Aurones derivatives has been reported.

Often it was observed that, the most of these methods suffer at least some disadvantages, such as a long reaction time, expensive reagents, use of toxic solvents or catalysts, harsh reaction conditions, environmental problems, undesirable wastes, unsatisfactory yield, non-recyclable catalyst, and tedious work-up procedures. Although, the synthesis of this class of heterocyclic compounds is particularly significant for researchers and in continuation of previous works on the use of DES in the synthesis of important compounds [10-11]. So in this research above said a new method for synthesis of Aurones (2-benzylidenebenzofuran-3(2H)-ones) derivatives is adopted.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In this report, researcher hope to present a simple and efficient method for the synthesis of Aurones (2-benzylidenebenzofuran-3(2H)-ones) derivatives (Scheme 1) by the reaction between 2-chloro-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)ethanone and aromatic aldehydes in the presence of DES, alone, or in the presence of Fe₃O₄/ λ -carrageenan/Zn(II) nanocatalyst.



Initially, I chose the model reaction between 2-chloro-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)ethanone and benzaldehyde in the presence of catalyst (DES or DES and nanomagnetic) to optimize the reaction parameters and obtain the best conditions (Scheme 1). Different reaction conditions, such as the type of DES (ChCl·2SnCl₂, ChCl·2ZnCl₂ and ChCl·2Urea), the amount of DES (5, 10, 15 and 20 mol %), reaction temperature (r.t, 60, 90, 120 °C), and the reaction time (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 5 h), have employed to obtain the best reaction conditions. The results were listed in Table 1 which showed that the best yield of the product has observed in the reaction at 90 °C in the presence of 5 mol% ChCl·2SnCl₂ at 1.5 h. Moreover, to determine the catalytic or synergic effect of the prepared bionanocatalyst, different values of this catalyst were added to the reaction at its optimized conditions (Table 2) and the reaction time and its temperature has reoptimized. The results showed that this catalyst reduces the reaction time to 30 min.

Entry	Cat. Type	Cat (mol%)	$T(^{0}C)$	Time(h)	Yield(%)
1	ChCl. ₂ SnCl ₂	20	r.t	5	0
2	ChCl. ₂ SnCl ₂	20	60	2	20
3	ChCl. ₂ SnCl ₂	20	90	1.5	89
4	ChCl. ₂ SnCl ₂	5	90	1.5	91
5	ChCl. ₂ ZnCl ₂	20	90	2.5	38
6	ChCl. ₂ Urea	20	90	2.5	61

Table 1:Optimization of reaction conditions for the model reaction

Table 2:	Optimization	of reaction	conditions f	or the	model r	reaction
----------	---------------------	-------------	--------------	--------	---------	----------

Entry	Fe_3O_4/\hbar Carrageenan/Zn(II)(g)	$T(^{0}C)$	Time(min)	Yield (%)
1	0.1	Rt	120	0
2	0.1	60	30	42
3	0.1	90	30	91
4	0.1	120	30	72
5	0.05	90	30	58

The optimized conditions were employed for the synthesis of other Aurones (2-benzylidenebenzofuran-3(2H)-ones) derivatives to show the versatility of this method. Therefore, the all reactions with different aldehydes are summarized in Table 3.

Entry	Aldyde	Product	Yield (%)	m.pt(⁰ C)
1	Ph	3a	87	224-225
2	4-OCH ₃ C6H5	3b	70	252-252
3	4-CH ₃ C6H5	3c	85	227-228
4	4-ClC6H5	3d	70	238-239
5	4-BrC6H5	3e	74	243-244

Table 3.Synthesis of some Aurones(2-benzylidenebenzofuran-3(2H)-ones) derivatives.

CONCLUSION

In summary, an environment friendly and green approach have presented for the synthesis of Aurones (2-benzylidenebenzofuran-3(2H)-ones) derivatives via the reaction between chloro-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)ethanone and aromatic aldehydes in the presence of ChCl₂.SnCl₂, alone, or in the presence of Fe₃O₄/ λ -carrageenan/Zn(II). This method offers several advantages, including using DES instead of toxic organic solvents or catalysts (or both), simple work-up procedure, excellent yields, short reaction time, easy synthesis, greener condition and non-chromatographic purification of products and reusability of DES.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemicals were purchased from Merckand Sigma-Aldrich companies. Melting points were measured using the open capillary tube method with an electro thermal 9200 apparatus.1HNMR and 13NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV-400 spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively in DMSO-d6. Coupling constant (J) are expressed in Hz. Chemical shifts (δ) of NMR are reported in parts per million(ppm) units relative to the solvent.

PREPARATION OF FE₃O₄/Å -CARRAGEENAN/ZN(II)

Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were prepared by chemical co-precipitation of 2:1 of a molar ratio of FeCl₃·6H₂O (1 g) and FeSO₄·6H₂O (0.65 g). Both iron salts were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water and stirred to produce a clear solution. This solution has been sonicated for 30 min. Then, NH₄OH solution (25 %) was added to the solution. When the pH of the solution was reached to 10, a dark solution was appeared, indicating the formation of magnetic nanoparticles. The solution was allowed to stir at 80 °C under N₂ atmosphere for 2 h and the nanomagnetite was separated by a magnet, washed with water and acetone, and dried by air. Then, λ -carrageenan (0.25 g) was dissolved into 50 mL of distilled water to get the homogenous solution, magnetite nanoparticles were added, and the solution was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The resulting coated nanomagnetite was gently stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting catalyst (Fe₃O₄/ λ -carrageenan/Zn(II)) was separated by using external magnet, washed with water and acetone, and dried by air.[12]

General procedure for the synthesis of Aurones (2-benzylidenebenzofuran-3(2H)-ones) derivatives.

Chloro-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)ethanone(2 mmol) and aromatic aldehydes(1 mmol), and ChCl·2SnCl₂ (5 mol%) (in some reactions, in the presence of 0.1 g of Fe₃O₄/ λ -carrageenan/Zn(II)) were mixed in a 25 ml round-bottom flask equipped with a condenser on the top. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, and during stirring, it was warmed slowly on oil bath to 90°C. The progress of reaction was checked by TLC and after completion of the reaction, the mixture was

diluted with 5 ml water and 10 ml Et_2O . The nanomagnetic catalyst was separated by external magnet then the organic layer was separated by simple liquid-liquid extraction. The deep eutectic solvent was dried at 60–70 °C, where organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and its solvent was evaporated. After all the crude product was recrystallized in ethanol to got pure product. The structure of all products was confirmed by melting point, ¹H and ¹³CNMR data compared with literature.

Spectral data of some representative compounds

(Z)-5-Hydroxy-2-benzylidene-benzofuran-3(2H)-one (5) **3a**: yellow solid, m.pt 224-225 ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ =9.83(s, 1H, 5OH), δ =7.97 (d, j=7.2Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.41-7.52(m, 3H, ArH), 7.40(d, j=8.8Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.22(dd, j=8.8Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.03(d, j=2.8Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.88 (s, 1H,CH) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6): d 184.4, 159.9, 154.4, 147.7, 132.6, 131.7, 130.4, 129.4, 126.3, 121.7, 114.3, 112.1, 108.3 ppm.

(Z)-5-Hydroxy-2-(4-methoxybenzylidene-benzofuran-3(2H)-one **3b**: yellow solid, m.pt 251-252 ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ =9.77(s, 1H, OH), δ =7.95 (d, j=8.8Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.38(d, 8.8Hz 1H, ArH), 7.21(dd, j=8.8Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.08(d, j=8.8Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.02(d, j=2.4Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.87 (s, 1H,CH), 3.83(s, 3H, OCH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6): d 184.0, 161.3, 159.6, 154.3, 146.6, 133.7, 126.4, 125.1, 122.0, 115.2, 114.2, 112.6, 108.2, 55.9 ppm.

(Z)-5-Hydroxy-2-(4-methylbenzylidene-benzofuran-3(2H)-one **3c**: pale yellow solid, m.pt 227-228 ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ =9.79(s, 1H, OH), δ =7.88 (d, j=8.0Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.40(d, 8.8Hz 1H, ArH), 7.32(d, j=8.0Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.22(dd, j=8.8Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.02(d, j=2.4Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.86 (s, 1H,CH), 2.36(s, 3H, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6): d 184.3, 159.7, 154.3, 147.2, 140.6, 131.8, 130.2, 129.8, 126.2, 121.8, 114.4, 112.4, 108.2, 21.6 ppm.

(Z)-5-Hydroxy-2-(4-chlorobenzylidene-benzofuran-3(2H)-one **3d**: yellow solid, m.pt 238-239(70%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ =9.82(s, 1H, OH), δ =7.99 (d, j=8.4Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.57(d, 8.4Hz 2H, ArH), 7.39(d, j=9.2Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.23(dd, j=8.8Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.03(d, j=2.4Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.90 (s, 1H,CH), ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6): 184.4, 159.8, 154.5, 147.8, 135.0, 133.3, 131.5, 129.6, 126.4, 121.6, 114.4, 110.8, 108.3 ppm

(Z)-5-Hydroxy-2-(4-bromobenzylidene-benzofuran-3(2H)-one **3e**: yellow solid, m.pt 243-244(77%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ =9.83(s, 1H, OH), δ =7.91 (d, j=8.4Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.71(d, 8.4Hz 2H, ArH), 7.40(d, j=9.2Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.23(dd, j=8.8Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.03(d, j=2.4Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.88 (s, 1H,CH), ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6): 184.4, 159.8, 154.5, 147.9, 133.5, 132.5, 131.8, 126.4, 123.9, 121.6, 114.4, 110.8, 108.3ppm.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like express my deep gratitude to my Ph.D. supervisor Dr. Suresh Kumar, Department of chemistry Kurukshetra University Kurukshetra. I am thankful to Kurukshetra University Kurukshetra for providing lab facilities and UGC New Delhi for providing me JRF fellowship.

REFERENCE

- [1] R. Haudecoeur, A. Boumendjel, Current Medicinal Chemistry 19 (2012) 2861
- [2] A. Boumendjel, *Current Medicinal Chemistry* 10 (2003) 2621
- [3] B.R. Bandgar, S.A. Patil, B.L. Korbad, S.C. Biradar, S.N. Nile, C.N. Khobragade, *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 45 (2010) 3223

- [4] O. Kayser, A.F. Kiderlen, U. Folkens, H. Kokodziej, *Planta Medica* 65 (1999) 316
- [5] O. Kayser, A.F. Kiderlen, R. Brun, Planta Medica 67 (2001) 718
- [6] O. Kayser, M. Chen, A. Kharazmi, A.F. Kiderlen, *Naturforschung* 57c (2002) 717.
- [7] F. Souard, S. Okombi, C. Beney, S. Chevalley, A. Valentin, A. Boumendjel, *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 18 (2010) 5724
- [8] R. Haudecoeur, A. Ahmed-Belkacem, W. Yi, A. Fortune, R. Brillet, C. Belle, E. Nicolle, C. Pallier, J.M. Pawlotsky, A. Boumendjel, *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 54 (**2011**) 5395
- [9] S.Y. Shin, M.C. Shin, J.S. Shin, K.T. Lee, Y.S. Lee, *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* Letters 21 (2011) 4520
- [10] H. Tavakol, S. Zakery, A. Heydari, J. Organomet. Chem. 692, (2007) 1924
- [11] A. Heydari, H. Tavakol, J. Azarnia, N. Ahmadi, Synthesis 5, (2005) 627